

WEB SITE

Visit our WEB SITE
at
<http://www.khpplc.co.uk>



KEY HAVEN
PUBLICATIONS PLC

Key Haven Publications PLC
PO Box 669, Oxford OX3 3AU
Telephone +44 (0) 1865 352121; Facsimile +44 (0) 1865 351081

THE PERSONAL TAX PLANNING REVIEW

P T P R

Volume 12, Issue 3, 2009

EDITORIAL BOARD

Robert Venables QC (Consulting Editor)
Peter Vaines, Barrister, Squires Sanders & Dempsey LLP
(Joint Managing Editor)
Keith Gordon, Barrister (Joint Managing Editor)
Harriet Brown (Joint Managing Editor)

Leolin Price QC
Peter Taylor QC
Christopher McCall QC
Stephen Allcock QC
Stephen Brandon QC
Kevin Prosser QC
Brian Green QC
Philip Baker QC, OBE
Timothy Lyons QC
James Kessler QC
Professor Anthony Mellows
Professor Adrian Shipwright
Professor Paul Matthews
Robert Argles
Colin Tyre

All editorial correspondence should be addressed to

Harriet Brown, Joint Managing Editor

Tax Chambers, 15 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn, London WC2A 3UE

The Personal Tax Planning Review

is published by

Key Haven Publications PLC

PO Box 669, Oxford OX3 3AU

Telephone: 01865 352121; Facsimile: 01865 351081

E-mail address: aw.khplc@khplc.co.uk

Visit our Website at: www.khplc.co.uk

DISCLAIMER

The views contained herein are put forward for further consideration only and are not to be acted upon without independent and professional advice.

Neither the Publisher nor the Authors or Contributors can accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any person no matter howsoever caused or arising as a result of or in consequence of action taken or refrained from in reliance on the contents hereof.

©2009 KEY HAVEN PUBLICATION PLC

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

ISSN 0964-6744

Conditions of Sale

All rights strictly reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Any person infringing the publisher's copyright will be liable to criminal and/or civil proceedings.

Papers are invited for publication on the understanding that they contain original unpublished material not submitted to any other journal and may be subject to anonymous peer review. The decision of the Managing Editors to accept, reject or modify any paper shall be final. Style sheets are available from the publisher on request.

Printed in England by Dearne Valley Printers.

CONTENTS

	Page No
Editorial	v
Inspections at Home-Offices under the New Schedule 36 Powers: What would the European Court of Human Rights say? Tom Wesel	1
Defining the Ambit of Tax Appeals by Reference to Closure Notices Andrew Terry / Adam Dolder	21
Could one Bank Indexation on Shares and Securities? Keith M Gordon	37
Discovery Assessments – The Consequences of the Decision in <i>Corbally-Stourton</i> Keith Gordon	45

EDITORIAL

This is the last issue of the Review to be prepared under the editorship of Keith Gordon. Although he hopes to continue submitting articles, a burgeoning workload at the Bar means that he is no longer able to give the Review the degree of attention that he would have liked. We congratulate him and wish him continued success. In the course of compiling this issue, Keith has been assisted by Harriet Brown of 15 Old Square, who has agreed to take over as Joint Managing Editor for future issues.

This issue opens with an article by Tom Wesel of King's College, London on the new inspection powers granted to HMRC by Parliament in last year's Finance Act. Tom discusses the potential incompatibilities of some of the provisions with Human Rights law.

The second issue is by Andrew Terry and Adam Dolder of Withers LLP. They consider a point highlighted in the recent *Tower MCashback* case and the importance of the wording of a closure notice as that can affect the points that a party can raise on any subsequent appeal.

The final two articles are by Keith Gordon. In the first, Keith continues his examination of the abolition of the capital gains tax indexation allowance and considers the extent to which married couples and civil partners could bank any indexation allowance on the transfer of shares and securities before 6 April 2008.

Finally, Keith returns to the vexed issue of discovery assessments. Using the recent decision of the Special Commissioner in *Mrs Corbally-Stourton* as his starting point, Keith argues that the leading case of *Langham v Veltema* has actually been misunderstood and that discovery assessments cannot be used quite as widely as HMRC seem to believe.

Robert Venables QC

Peter Vaines

Keith Gordon

Harriet Brown

February 2009