EDITORIAL BOARD

Robert Venables QC (Consulting Editor)
Peter Vaines FCA, Barrister, Tax Partner of
Brebner Allen & Trapp (Managing Editor)
Alastair Hudson, Barrister (Assistant Managing Editor)
Roger Madsen, Solicitor (Assistant Editor)

Michael Hart QC Christopher McCall QC Leolin Price QC Peter Taylor QC Professor Anthony Mellows Professor Adrian Shipwright Stephen Allcock Robert Argles Philip Baker Stephen Brandon Brian Green Michael Jump James Kessler Timothy Lyons Paul Matthews Kevin Prosser Hilda Wilson

All editorial correspondence should be addressed to The Assistant Managing Editor, Alastair Hudson 24 Old Buildings, Lincoln's Inn, London WC2A 3UJ.

The Personal Tax Planning Review
is published by
Key Haven Publications PLC
7 Crescent Stables
139 Upper Richmond Road
London SW15 2TN
Telephone (081) 780 2522, Facsimile (081) 780 1693

DISCLAIMER

The views contained herein are put forward for further consideration only and are not to be acted upon without independent and professional advice.

Neither the Publishers nor the Authors or Contributors can accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any person no matter howsoever caused or arising as a result of or in consequence of action taken or refrained from in reliance on the contents hereof.

© 1991 KEY HAVEN PUBLICATIONS PLC

Conditions of Sale

All rights strictly reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Any person infringing the publisher's copyright will be liable to criminal and/or civil proceedings.

Printed in England by Dramrite Printers Ltd, Long Lane, Southwark SE1.

CONTENTS

	Page No
From the Editors	1
Tax Appeals: Judicial Review Charles Potter QC & Kevin Prosser	3
When is a Settlement the Same Settlement? Robert Venables QC	17
Rye v Rye Re-Visited Hilda Wilson	23
Monstrous Ex Gratia Alastair Hudson	27
House of Lords Spring Offside Trap An Analysis of the Case of <i>Shilton v Wilmshurst</i> Andrew Thornhill QC & David Ewart	31
GROB Avoidance by Interest in Possession Trust for Spouse <i>Robert Venables QC</i>	37
Section 39A IHTA - Does it Work? Robert Argles	43
Mallalieu v Drummond - A Help or a Hindrance Peter Vaines	53
Effective Waiver of Debts Timothy Lyons	59
"Real Values" - The Aggregation of Interests when Valuing an Individual's Estate for the Purposes of Inheritance Tax Alastair Hudson	63

EDITORIAL

The aim of the *Personal Tax Planning Review* is to stimulate informed discussion on United Kingdom tax planning for individuals. The *Review* contains articles and news on the taxation of individuals and trustees. The principal taxes dealt with are, naturally, income tax, inheritance tax and capital gains tax. While the emphasis is on planning for individuals, related topics will also be covered, particularly the taxation of trusts and of private companies, as well as unincorporated businesses.

The Review is the sister publication of the Offshore Tax Planning Review, which in its very first year acquired an enviable reputation. The Personal Tax Planning Review aspires to the same combination of scholarly precision and high practicality. The primary aim of both Reviews is, in addition to containing some current tax intelligence, to build up a library of articles, many of which will have enduring interest.

The Review will not be limited by the confines of pure tax law but will include articles on related areas. We are proud to include in our first issue a stimulating article by Charles Potter QC and Kevin Prosser on Judicial Review in Tax Appeals which explores new and largely uncharted waters. Deduction of expenses for Schedule D purposes continues to be a thorny area: the Managing Editor has written about the long-term effects of Mallalieu v Drummond. Section 39A of the Inheritance Tax Act was intended to put an end to a scheme which exploited the interaction of the spouse exemption, business property relief and agricultural property relief. Robert Argles considers whether or not the scheme still works. In the aftermath of the Kildrummy decision, Hilda Wilson re-examines Rye v Rye. The reversal by the House of Lords of the Court of Appeal decision in Shilton v Wilmshurst, discussed by Andrew Thornhill QC and David Ewart, has called the taxeffectiveness of golden hellos into question. The recent Revenue Statement of Practice concerning the taxability of lump sums paid on retirement comes in for criticism from the Assistant Managing Editor. The Consulting Editor has contributed an article on Swires v Renton, which provides useful guidance in determining when an exercise of dispositive powers creates a new settlement for capital gains tax purposes, and a further article in reply to criticisms of a gifts with reservation of benefit stratagem.

If the primary aim of the *Review* to stimulate discussion is to be achieved, contributors will inevitably express differences of opinion with judges, counsel and other writers and even with each other. The Editors welcome unsolicited contributions, particularly letters and articles in reply. Articles need not be of any minimum length. Readers are therefore invited to submit pieces for publication to The Assistant Managing Editor, Alastair S. Hudson, 24 Old Buildings, Lincoln's Inn, London WC2A 3UJ.

Robert Venables QC

Peter Vaines

Alastair Hudson

December 1991